

The magnitudes computed by using it are given under heading 7, designated  $M_1$ . It will be seen that the Hagen stars Nos. 1 to 4 cannot be represented by this even approximately.

Accordingly the log (diameter) was plotted against the Hagen magnitudes, and it was found that the following straight line represented the results very nearly :—

$$\text{Magnitude} = 35.7 - 10 \log (\text{diameter}).$$

This formula is much better for the brighter stars, but fails for the fainter ones.

It may be noticed that on transforming it to the form

$$\text{Intensity of light} \propto 10^{-0.4 \times \text{mag.}} \propto (\text{diameter})^4$$

this formula corresponds to the supposition that the diameter increases as the fourth root of the exposure time, if we suppose exposure time exactly equivalent to increased intensity of light. This law was obtained by Pritchard, *Proc. R.S.* vol. xl., though other laws have been found by other observers—the inverse square by Rutherford and the inverse cube by Prof. Turner at Greenwich in 1889 (see *Monthly Notices*, xlix. p. 292).

The results from this formula are given under head  $M_2$ .

7. Father Hagen gave the places of his comparison stars only approximately to the nearest second of time in R.A. and the nearest 0'.1 in D, and so no comparison is printed, though one was made and sent to him. The differences between his positions and those obtained by me are often quite large. This would seem to show the need of similar work being done for the whole list of variable stars.

*Oxford University Observatory.*

### *On the Absolute Proper Motions of Certain Double Stars showing Large Relative Motion.* By H. Furner and J. Storey.

(Communicated by the Astronomer-Royal.)

During Mr. Lewis' work on double stars he has found a number which show large relative motions, and it is of interest to examine the meridian observations in order to determine these absolute motions. A list of these stars was given to us, and a first instalment of our work upon them we now beg to lay before the Society.

Some 100 stars have been examined, but owing to the lack of sufficient material we have confined our present paper to such stars as show by micrometer measures a relative motion of at least 0".1 per annum.

All available catalogue places have been made use of and reduced to epoch 1900.0, applying Professor Auwers' systematic corrections as given in *Ast. Nach.* 3195-6 and 3463. The stars from the Lalande Catalogue were reduced to the epoch 1800 by the help of Dr. E. von Asten's tables (*Vierteljahrschrift der Astronomische Gesellschaft*, Jahrgang III., Supplement). The graphical method has been employed in determining the proper motions, greater weight having been given to Struve's *Positiones. Medice* 1830.0 for the earlier catalogues. It is of interest to note that in about half the stars here considered the larger proper motion belongs to the fainter component; a circumstance which may be explained by the fact that if the brighter star had the larger proper motion in all probability this would have already been detected.

Catalogue.	Epoch.	R.A. 1900.0.	P.M. applied.	N.P.D. 1900.0.	P.M. applied.
$\approx 63.$ Mag. 8.2, 11.2.					
		$0^h 44^m$		$78^\circ 42'$	
W.B. ...	1825	58.47	58.63	41.6	45.0
Pos. Med.	32.4	58.62	58.76	45.4	48.4
Camb. Obs.	43.9, 42.8	58.68	58.79	45.7	48.3
Göttingen	60	58.75	58.83	42.1	43.9
Leipzig ...	71.4	58.71	58.77	48.2	49.5
Washington	89.7	58.72	58.74	46.4	46.9
Cincinnati	89.8	58.72	58.74	48.9	49.4
Greenwich	89.8	58.75	58.77	48.4	48.9
Cape ...	89.8	58.76	58.78	47.9	48.4
Radcliffe	90.9	58.74	58.76	47.6	48.0

P.M. of A  $+0''.031$   $+0''.045$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

$-0''.159$   $0''.000$

P.M. of B  $-0''.128$   $+0''.045$

$\approx 175.$  Mag. 7.9, 8.4.

		$1^h 45^m$		$69^\circ 22'$	
Pos. Med.	1835.5	30.92	31.03	44.4	47.9
Berlin B....	82.0	31.00	31.03	46.9	47.9

P.M. of A  $+0''.024$   $+0''.054$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

$-0''.026$   $-0''.098$

P.M. of B  $0''.000$   $-0''.044$

Catalogue.	Epoch.	R.A. 1900'0.	P.M. applied.	N.P.D. 1900'0.	P.M. applied.
$\Sigma$ 197. Mag. 7.2, 8.2.					
		$1^h 55^m$		$55^\circ 10'$	
Lalande ...	1794.9	$10^{\circ} 27'$	$10^{\circ} 74'$	$59'' 0$	$50'' 1$
W. B. ...	1828.8	$10^{\circ} 56'$	$\cdot 90$	$51^{\circ} 6'$	$45^{\circ} 6'$
Pos. Med. ...	33.8	$10^{\circ} 58'$	$\cdot 90$	$54^{\circ} 3'$	$48^{\circ} 7'$
Brussels ...	67.6	$10^{\circ} 60'$	$\cdot 75$	$50^{\circ} 8'$	$48^{\circ} 1'$
Leiden ...	73.5	$10^{\circ} 73'$	$\cdot 85$	$50^{\circ} 7'$	$48^{\circ} 4'$

P.M. of A  $+0''\cdot 056$   $-0''\cdot 085$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

$-0''\cdot 082$   $+0''\cdot 064$

$\Sigma$  436. Mag. 7.0, 8.2.

		$3^h 36^m$		$0^\circ 56'$	
W. B. ...	1825	$7^{\circ} 94'$	$8^{\circ} 19'$	$22'' 6$	$20'' 4$
Pos. Med. ...	36.8	$7^{\circ} 96'$	$\cdot 17$	$24^{\circ} 0'$	$22^{\circ} 2'$
Schjellerup ...	65	$7^{\circ} 88'$	$\cdot 00$	$26^{\circ} 1'$	$25^{\circ} 1'$
Radcliffe Obs. ...	67.9	$7^{\circ} 96'$	$\cdot 07$	...	...
Radcliffe... ...	91.7	$8^{\circ} 16'$	$\cdot 19$	$22^{\circ} 4'$	$22^{\circ} 2'$

P.M. of A  $+0''\cdot 050$   $-0''\cdot 029$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

$-0''\cdot 185$   $+0''\cdot 040$

P.M. of B  $-0''\cdot 135$   $+0''\cdot 011$

$\Sigma$  499. Mag. 9.2, 9.3, 11.2.

		$4^h 3^m$		$66^\circ 11'$	
Lalande ...	1798.4	$44^{\circ} 17'$	$44^{\circ} 36'$	$22'' 1$	...
W. B. ...	1825	$44^{\circ} 16'$	$\cdot 30$	$25^{\circ} 9'$	...
Pos. Med. ...	27.0	$44^{\circ} 08'$	$\cdot 22$	$25^{\circ} 7'$	...
Rumker ...	36	$43^{\circ} 97'$	$\cdot 09$	$24^{\circ} 7'$	...
Romberg ...	75.5	$44^{\circ} 26'$	$\cdot 31$	$25^{\circ} 5'$	...
Berlin B....	80.7	$44^{\circ} 20'$	$\cdot 24$	$26^{\circ} 1'$	...

P.M. of A  $+0''\cdot 029$   $0''\cdot 000$

The micrometer measures show that the stars A and B are relatively fixed ; hence A and B have the same P.M. The relative motion of C to A from micrometer measures :

$-0''\cdot 210$   $-0''\cdot 037$

P.M. of C  $-0''\cdot 181$   $-0''\cdot 037$

Catalogue.	Epoch.	R.A. 1900'0.	P.M. applied.	N.P.D. 1900'0.	P.M. applied.
$\Sigma$ 853. Mags. 7.8, 8.3.					
		$6^h 3^m$		$78^\circ 19'$	
Pos. Med. ...	1833.7	$35^s 59$	$35^s 11$	$34^s 5$	...
Schjellerup ...	65	$35^s 31$	$06$	$32^s 5$	...
Leipzig I. ...	70.6	$35^s 26$	$04$	$35^s 4$	...
Washington ...	76.9	$35^s 31$	$14$	$34^s 1$	...

P.M. of A  $-0''.106$   $0''.000$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures:

$+0''.071$   $-0''.084$   
P.M. of B  $-0''.035$   $-0''.084$

$\Sigma$ 1142. Mag. 8.0, 10.4.					
		$7^h 42^m$		$76^\circ 20'$	
Lalande ...	1796.2	$46^s 96$	$46^s 74$	$3^s 9$	$2^s 5$
W. B. ...	1825	$47^s 23$	$47^s 07$	$2^s 7$	$1^s 7$
Pos. Med. ...	37.2, 37.7	$46^s 91$	$46^s 78$	$2^s 1$	$1^s 3$
Leipzig I. ...	70.6	$46^s 87$	$81$	$2^s 4$	$2^s 0$
Paris ...	74.1, 75.1	$46^s 80$	$75$	$1^s 7$	$1^s 4$

P.M. of A  $-0''.031$   $-0''.013$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures:

$+0''.043$   $+0''.175$   
P.M. of B  $+0''.012$   $+0''.162$

$\Sigma$ 1202. Mag. 7.7, 9.8.					
		$8^h 8^m$		$78^\circ 50'$	
Lalande ...	1796.2	$5^s 13$	$4^s 91$	$54^s 2$	$60^s 4$
Piazzi ...	1800	$5^s 23$	$5^s 03$	$55^s 9$	$61^s 9$
W. B. ...	25	$5^s 09$	$4^s 94$	$53^s 2$	$57^s 7$
Pos. Med. ...	32.7	$5^s 05$	$4^s 92$	$55^s 9$	$59^s 9$
Madras ...	36.4	$5^s 25$	$5^s 12$	$55^s 8$	$59^s 6$
Paris, 1860 ...	60.6, 60.1	$4^s 99$	$4^s 91$	$57^s 2$	$59^s 6$
Leipzig I. ...	69.5	$4^s 98$	$4^s 92$	$57^s 7$	$59^s 5$
Romberg ...	75.4	$5^s 00$	$4^s 95$	$58^s 5$	$60^s 0$
Paris, 1875 ...	79.2	$5^s 00$	$4^s 96$	$59^s 8$	$61^s 0$

P.M. of A  $-0''.029$   $+0''.060$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures:

$-0''.105$   $+0''.060$   
P.M. of B  $-0''.134$   $+0''.120$

Catalogue.	Epoch.	R.A. 1900.	P.M. applied.	N.P.D. 1900.	P.M. applied.
$\Sigma$ 1329. Mag. 8.3, 8.5.					
		$9^h 10^m$		$90^\circ 49'$	
Pos. Med.	1827.2	$38^s 67$	$38^s 30$	$21' 1$	$27' 0$
Munich ...	42.9	$38^s 52$	$38^s 24$	$21' 7$	$26' 3$
Nicolajew	88.5	$38^s 33$	$38^s 27$	$26' 2$	$27' 1$

P.M. of A  $-0''.075$   $+0''.081$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

$+0''.065$   $-0''.099$

$\Sigma$ 1847. Mag. 8.5, 9.8.					
		$14^h 23^m$		$99^\circ 45'$	
W. B. ...	1825	$18^s 09$	$17^s 90$	$22' 5$	$29' 3$
Camb. Obs. ...	1843.2	$18^s 40$	$18^s 26$	$22' 0$	$27' 1$
Pos. Med. ...	43.3, 45.5	$18^s 59$	$18^s 45$	$21' 7$	$26' 7$
Munich...	61.4	$18^s 40$	$18^s 30$	$24' 2$	$27' 7$
Paris ...	63.4, 60.7	$18^s 53$	$18^s 44$	$24' 4$	$27' 9$
Romberg	75.0	$18^s 50$	$18^s 44$	$24' 5$	$26' 7$

P.M. of A  $-0''.035$   $+0''.090$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

P.M. of B  $-0''.105$   $-0''.042$   
 $-0''.140$   $+0''.048$

$\Sigma$ 1893. Mag. 8.4, 10.0.					
		$14^h 51^m$		$60^\circ 7'$	
Lalande ...	1795.5	$1' 22$	$0' 91$	$18' 0$	$22' 7$
Pos. Med. ...	1834.0	$1' 12$	$0' 92$	$15' 3$	$18' 3$
Paris ...	67.4	$0' 94$	$0' 84$	$15' 0$	$16' 5$
Leiden ...	71.2	$1' 00$	$0' 91$	$17' 3$	$18' 6$

P.M. of A  $-0''.036$   $+0''.045$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

$+0''.062$   $+0''.079$   
P.M. of B  $+0''.026$   $+0''.124$

Catalogue.	Epoch.	R.A. 1900.0.	P.M. applied.	N.P.D. 1900.0.	P.M. applied.
$\Sigma$ 2185. Mag. 7.0, 10.0, 7.7.					
		$17^h 29^m$ s		$83^\circ 55'$ s	
W. B. ...	1822.5	55.23	52.52	74.1	46.2
Munich ...	61.6	54.18	.84	.60.7	46.9
Brussels...	71.1	53.65	.64	...	...
Glasgow...	79.0, 77.4	53.50	.71	.53.5	45.4
Romberg	80.4	53.47	.78	.51.9	44.8
Leipzig II.	84.4	53.33	.78	.51.0	45.4
Cincinnati	90.3	53.18	.84	.50.0	46.5

P.M. of C  $-0''.522$   $-0''.360$

		$17^h 29^m$ s		$83^\circ 55'$ s	
Lalande ...	1794.6	55.62	55.36	22.5	27.6
W. B. ...	1825	55.38	.19	21.9	25.6
Pos. Med.	42.4	55.30	.16	24.3	27.1
Munich ...	61.6	55.30	.20	23.2	25.1
Brussels...	70.0, 70.8	55.06	54.99	25.1	26.5
Paris ...	76.5	55.01	.95	25.1	26.2
Glasgow...	79.3	55.13	55.08	26.0	26.9
Leipzig II.	84.4	55.12	.08	25.3	26.0
Cincinnati	90.3	55.20	.18	26.6	27.0

P.M. of A  $-0''.037$   $+0''.049$

The micrometer measures give no appreciable motion between the stars A and B, so they probably have the same P.M. Now

P.M. of C  $-0''.522$   $-0''.360$

P.M. of A  $-0''.037$   $+0''.049$

Hence relative motion of C to A from computed P.M.'s is

$-0''.485$   $-0''.409$

The relative motion of C to A from micrometer measures :

$-0''.580$   $-0''.488$

$\Sigma$  2514. Mag. 9.0, 11.3.

		$19^h 16^m$ s		$22^\circ 29^m$ s	
Pos. Med.	1833.0	49.86	...	19.1	22.5
Christiania	75.5	49.68	...	23.1	24.4
Greenwich	99.6	49.83	...	22.5	22.5

P.M. of A  $0''.000$   $+0''.052$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

$+0''.024$   $-0''.122$

P.M. of B  $+0''.024$   $-0''.070$

448 *Messrs. Furner & Storey, Absolute Proper Motions.* LXIV. 5.

Catalogue.	Epoch.	R.A. 1900 0.	P.M. applied.	N.P.D. 1900'0.	P.M. applied.
$\Sigma$ 2515. Mag. 8.0, 9.0.					
		$19^h 20^m$		$68^\circ 40'$	
Lalande ...	1794.7	$14^s 71$	$14^s 80$	$53'' 6$	$48'' 2$
W. B. ...	1825.6	$14^s 46$	$53$	$49'' 2$	$45'' 4$
Pos. Med.	28.6	$14^s 87$	$93$	$54'' 3$	$50'' 7$
Berlin B.	81.6	$14^s 93$	$95$	$51'' 6$	$50'' 7$

P.M. of A  $+0''.013$   $-0''.051$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

$-0''.012$   $+0''.109$   
P.M. of B  $0''.000$   $+0''.058$

$\Sigma$  2658. Mag. 7.0, 9.1, 10.1.

		$20^h 11^m$		$37^\circ 11^m$	
Lal. F. ...	1790	$0^s 89$	$1^s 58$	$17'' 0$	$59'' 6$
Groombridge ...	1811.7	$0^s 66$	$22$	$15'' 2$	$0'' 3$
Pos. Med.	24.7	$0^s 89$	$37$	$14'' 4$	$1'' 8$
Cambridge Obs. ...	44.7, 43.2	$1^s 29$	$65$	$10'' 4$	$0'' 8$
Radcliffe ...	45.4, 45.7	$1^s 95$	$40$	$10'' 0$	$0'' 9$
Brussels ...	71.4, 65.8	$1^s 12$	$30$	$6'' 3$	$0'' 6$
Cambridge U.S. ...	77.3	$1^s 24$	$38$	$4'' 4$	$0'' 5$
Cincinnati ...	95.2	$1^s 17$	$21$	$2'' 6$	$1'' 1$
Greenwich ...	1900.5	$1^s 36$	$36$	$0'' 6$	$0'' 6$

P.M. of A  $+0''.058$   $-0''.168$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

$+0''.005$   $-0''.003$

Hence B as the same P.M. as A.

The relative motion of C to A from micrometer measures :

$-0''.032$   $+0''.136$

Hence P.M. of C is small.

$\Sigma$  2734. Mag. 8.2, 8.7.

		$20^h 49^m$		$77^\circ 16'$	
Pos. Med.	1828.2	$18^s 28$	$18^s 48$	$30'' 3$	$30'' 5$
Leipzig I.	82.3	$18^s 43$	$18^s 48$	$30'' 5$	$30'' 5$

P.M. of A  $+0''.040$   $+0''.003$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

$-0''.090$   $-0''.070$

P.M. of A  $-0''.050$   $-0''.067$

Catalogue.	Epoch.	R.A. 1900 <sup>o</sup> .	P.M. applied.	N.P.D. 1900 <sup>o</sup> .	P.M. applied.
$\Sigma$ 2865. Mag. 8.5, 9.0.					
		$22^h 2^m$		$20^o 16'$	
Lalande F. ...	1789.7	$10^s 52$	$11^s 90$	$31^s 3$	$29^s 1$
Pos. Med. ...	1823.6	$10^s 62$	$57$	$29^s 6$	$28^s 1$
Oeltz. Arg. (N.)...	41.6	$10^s 50$	$23$	$31^s 8$	$30^s 6$
Brussels ...	67.3, 67.8	$11^s 17$	$58$	$28^s 7$	$28^s 1$
Christiania ...	75.5	$11^s 12$	$43$	$27^s 6$	$27^s 1$
Greenwich ...	99.2	$11^s 52$	$53$	$28^s 2$	$28^s 2$

P.M. of A  $+0''.064$   $-0''.020$

The relative motion of B to A from micrometer measures :

$-0''.072$   $+0''.054$   
P.M. of B  $-0''.008$   $+0''.034$

*Note on the Determinations of Positions and Magnitude of Stars  
in the Greenwich Astrographic Catalogue.*

(Communicated by the Astronomer-Royal.)

The Introduction to vol. i. of the Greenwich Section of the *Astrographic Catalogue*, which is now in the press and will shortly be published, contains short discussions of the personality of the measurers, the probable error of the measures and of resulting right ascensions and declinations, and of the relation between magnitude of stars and the diameters of their photographic images. It may be of interest to lay before the Society a brief summary of these investigations.

*I. Personality of Measurers.*

The duplicate measurement of the plates in reversed positions, with a view to an increase of accuracy, was undertaken as a result of the meeting of the Astrographic Committee at Paris in 1896 June. Zones  $64^{\circ}$ ,  $65^{\circ}$ ,  $66^{\circ}$ ,  $67^{\circ}$ , had by this time been measured at Greenwich in the direct positions of the plates. They were accordingly re-measured, the plates and the glass diaphragm being reversed right for left. The direct and reversed measures were compared, the investigation involving many thousands of measures of about 300 plates by eight different measurers. In the direct measures the same measurer measured both the 6<sup>m</sup> and 3<sup>m</sup> images, but in the reversed measures there were separate measurers for the two images.